## INTERNATIONAL TRAUMA CONFERENCE

### October 23-26, 2024 Niagara Falls, Ontario, Canada

# **RESEARCH ABSTRACT RULES AND SUBMISSION FORM**

## Deadline: Friday, August 16, 2024

### POSTER PRESENTATION INSTRUCTIONS

We are now accepting research abstracts to be considered for poster presentations at the 2024 International Trauma Conference. The Conference will be held October 23-26, 2024 in Niagara Falls, Ontario, Canada. All poster presentations for the Research Forum will take place during open exhibit hours that open on Thursday, October 24<sup>th</sup> from 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm and continue on Friday, October 25<sup>th</sup> from 8:00 am – 3:00 pm. We are looking for timely, thought-provoking research projects that aim to improve trauma care and are relevant to the following audiences: EMTs, paramedics, critical care providers, emergency nurses and physicians, EMS medical directors, EMS educators, administrators and managers.

The Research Forum is open to all pre-hospital and hospital-based providers to present a poster presentation on trauma research. All abstracts will be considered, even if previously presented at other meetings.

Judges will present an Excellence in Research Award to the investigator/author of the winning abstract.

## Investigator/Author Guidelines:

- Only electronic submissions will be accepted. All abstracts should be sent to Mary Taylor at maryt@itrauma.org. This form may be duplicated for additional submissions. All submissions must be typed.
- The deadline for submission of abstracts is Friday, August 16, 2024 at 4:30 pm Central Time. This deadline will be strictly enforced.
- Abstract grading is blinded and abstracts are selected on the basis of scientific merit. Please attach a blinded copy (without the authors listed) of the research abstract for judging purposes.
- The final grading will be done on-site at the conference.
- All presenters are required to be registered for the conference.
- Notification letters of acceptance will be sent out by Monday, September 9, 2024. Notification will be given in writing via email only.
- All presenters are required to complete a Continuing Education (CE) Disclosure Form in advance of the Forum. All research presenters will be required to have this disclosure on file.

## Abstract Submission Guidelines:

- 400-word count limit.
- Structure headings, including Background, Objective, Design/Methods, Results, Conclusion and Impact. See Attached Sample Layout.

Abstracts that do not conform to these guidelines will be disqualified.

• This is an international audience, so please use universal metrics and language.

# Helpful Writing Tips:

- Your Objective statement should naturally lead to your Conclusion.
- Your Methods should explicitly define the type of study (e.g., retrospective vs prospective; chart review; randomized control; survey), enrollment period (e.g., 1/1/16 12/31/16), and inclusion/exclusion criteria.
- Your Methods should explicitly define your analytic strategies (e.g., descriptive, Fisher exact, multiple linear regression).
- There is no need to mention IRB oversight (this is understood).
- Your Results should explicitly disclose your N (# of subjects).
- There is no need to mention limitations.
- Use a leading zero for all decimal figures (e.g., 0.3).
- Include a space between a value and its units (e.g., 120 mmHg rather than 120mmHg).
- Do not mention your institution or hospital anywhere in the abstract.
- Case reports are not eligible for submission.
- Use graphics and key figures more than just plain text in the final poster.

### Research Poster Scoring guidelines are:

 An 20-point scoring system has been developed by the Research Committee. Understanding the criteria below will help optimize your Abstract submission.

#### **Objective total = 2 points**

0=No stated hypothesis or objective 1=Stated hypothesis or objective difficult to test 2=Clearly stated and testable hypothesis or objective

#### **Design/Methods total = 2 points**

0=Chosen design not stated or chosen design did not test the stated hypothesis 1=Chosen design is reasonable for study objective but not the best 2=Chosen design is ideal for study question

#### **Outcomes total = 2 points**

0=Chosen outcomes are not stated or inappropriate for study objective 1=Chosen outcomes are reasonable for study objective, but not the best 2=Chosen outcomes are ideal for study objective

#### **Bias total = 2 points**

0=Multiple bias, such as wrong or inadequate population studied 1=Adequate population sampling but bias in assessment, measurements, and/or comparison 2=Adequate sampling and no obvious bias

#### **Results total = 3 points**

0=Critical data to interpretation of study absent 1=Study size stated 2=Study size acceptable but statistics, if applicable, not adequate 3=Both study size and statistics adequate

#### **Conclusion total = 3 points**

0=Conclusion not supported at all by data 1=Conclusion minimally supported by data 2=Conclusion somewhat supported by data 3=Conclusion fully supported by data

#### Impact total = 2 points

0=Study will not change EM practice or make no meaningful contribution to current fund of knowledge 1=Study will possibly change EM practice or modestly contribute to current fund of knowledge 2=Study will definitely change EM practice or significantly change current fund of knowledge

## Quality of Writing and tables/figures total = 2 points (final poster – onsite)

0=Poor 1=Generally good 2=Exceptional

#### Quality of Verbal Delivery = 2 points (final poster – onsite)

0=Poor 1=Generally good 2=Exceptional

#### Maximum total score = 20

## **ABSTRACT SUBMISSION FORM**

Title:			
		nd last names of all authors and titles such as EMT-F e name of the principal investigator.)	P, MD
Author presentin	ng study:		
Position/title:			
	one, list city and state)		
Mailing address instructed otherw		er. Correspondence will be sent to presenter unless	
Phone:	Fax:	Email:	
Will this abstrac	t be presented prior to t	the International Trauma Conference?   YES	⊐ NO
If so, where and w	vhen?		
	nis research has been a this would be consider	pproved by my institutional review board in all red appropriate.	
Name of Princip	al Author	Date	
□ I agree to pres	sent this research if acc	epted.	

Name of Presenter Date PLEASE ATTACH A BLINDED COPY OF THE RESEARCH ABSTRACT FOR JUDGING PURPOSES.

### ABSTRACT

### Abstract Title

Background:
Objective:
Design/Methods:
Results:
Conclusion:
Impact: